

Applicant Company:
Expert Name:

Assessment Sheet Slate Funding v. 01.02.2023
Swiss MEDIA Compensating Measures

Slate Funding

N.B. Although the questions are in English, **please answer in the language of the application** – if possible – otherwise in German, French or English.
Feel free to send us your comments on the single projects of a slate. This will simplify the consolidation process in case of divergent expert evaluations. It will also help us to explain a refusal to candidates and is always very much appreciated since it can help the projects even if they are refused.

AWARD CRITERIA	General interpretation of award criteria relevant for all projects Please write your text into this column.	Weighting of the criterion	Elements of analysis of the award criteria
Relevance and European added-value	1. Approach of the Company to develop and produce at a European and international level a slate (package) of 3 to 5 projects and the capacity of the company to be innovative in its activities	(Total 30)	
	1a) Approach of the Company to develop and produce at a European and international level a slate (package) of 3 to 5 projects /20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance and added value of the proposed slate to improve the company's position on the European and international market in relation to its: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ co-production approach ○ presence on foreign markets ○ visibility at major film festivals ○ financial position ○ ability to develop several projects in parallel
	1b) Capacity of the company to be innovative in its activities	.../10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability of the company to adapt to a competitive and changing audiovisual landscape and to improve its market position by being innovative in its activities in terms of:

Applicant Company:
Expert Name:

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ genres, ○ formats, ○ platforms, ○ emerging talents, ○ new territories.
Quality of the content and activities	2. Quality of the slate of projects and quality of the development strategy	.../15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality and creative potential of each project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ strength and distinctiveness of idea/subject matter/project focus ○ premise ○ dramatic potential ○ narrative choices ○ quality of the writing ○ character development ○ world of story ○ visual approach ○ art work • Adequacy of the development plan to the needs of each project • Sufficiency of detail • Adequacy of development schedules planned
Dissemination of projects' results	3. The potential to reach audiences at European and international level, and the European and international distribution and marketing strategy	(Total 35)	

Applicant Company:
Expert Name:

	3a) Potential to reach audiences at European and international level	.../15	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transnational appeal of the concept/subject of the projects• Potential of the projects to cross borders taking into account<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The creative team○ the cast○ the proposed execution and the strategies and collaboration methodology presented, especially with non-national co-production partners
	3b) The European and international distribution strategy	.../10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Relevance of the distribution strategy regarding<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ distribution methods foreseen,○ partners in place or envisaged,○ awareness of the markets, European/international vision,○ relevance of choice of territories (neighbouring countries and regions, Europe, other continents)
	3c) The European and international marketing strategy	.../10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Relevance of the marketing strategy in terms of<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ the identified target audience(s),○ unique selling points,○ innovative marketing and audience engagement tools,○ promotional activities

Applicant Company:

Expert Name:

Assessment Sheet Slate Funding v. 01.02.2023

Swiss MEDIA Compensating Measures

Impact and sustainability	4. Quality of the financing strategy and its European dimension, and feasibility potential of the slate of projects	(Total 20)	
	4a) Quality of the financing strategy and its European dimension	.../10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Awareness of the suitable potential partners and territories targeted• Sufficiency and realism of the financing plan• Diversity of sources of funding foreseen• Level of commitment and share of non-national financing

Applicant Company:

Expert Name:

Assessment Sheet Slate Funding v. 01.02.2023

Swiss MEDIA Compensating Measures

	4b) Feasibility potential of the slate of projects	.../10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of the production costs• Adequacy of the financing strategy compared to the estimated production costs
--	--	--------	--

Applicant Company:

Assessment Sheet Slate Funding v. 01.02.2023

Expert Name:

Swiss MEDIA Compensating Measures

TOTAL

Please fill in the total of points given for each criteria:

Criteria	Definition	Max. Points	Expert	Threshold to be eligible for funding
1.Relevance and European added-value	Approach of the Company to develop and produce at a European and international level a slate (package) of 3 to 5 projects and the capacity of the company to be innovative in its activities	30	...	(Depending on availability of budget)
2. Quality of the content and activities	Quality of the slate of projects and quality of the development strategy	15	...	
3. Dissemination of project results	The potential to reach audiences at European and international level, and the European and international distribution and marketing strategy	35	...	
4. Impact and sustainability	Quality of the financing strategy and its European dimension, and feasibility potential of the slate of projects	20	...	
Total		100 Points	...	70 Points

As an indication, would you recommend the rejection or the selection of the slate of projects? How would you assess the projects on an individual basis? (Please mark with an "X" as appropriate)

	Slate	Project 1 Title	Project 2 Title	Project 3 Title	Project 4 Title	Project 5 Title
Recommendation for selection						
Recommendation for rejection						

If you recommend the selection:

<p>Amount of support recommended by the expert The support recommended by the expert cannot be higher than the support requested by the applicant company. A reduced amount must be justified on the basis of the budget (please indicate which items you consider overestimated).</p>	CHF ...
--	---------

<p>Reasons for recommendations (REQUIRED): please give us roughly seven positive and/or negative arguments which support the selection or rejection. These arguments should be in a form that can be given to the applicants as a justification of the decision. In a rejection obviously the negative arguments should prevail, but you may (and should) as well give positive aspects.</p>
...

Applicant Company:

Assessment Sheet Slate Funding v. 01.02.2023

Expert Name:

Swiss MEDIA Compensating Measures

Points

Within the maximum number of points per award criterion, ranges of scores are defined that correspond to a fixed definition of the expected quality standard so that as coherent approach as possible is implemented, across experts as well as across schemes. The score cannot include decimals. The standards are as follows:

- 9-10 Very good – the application addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question convincingly and successfully. The answer provides all the information and evidence needed and there are no concerns or areas of weakness.
- 7-8 Good – the application addresses the criterion well, although some small improvements could be made. The answer gives clear information on all or nearly all of the evidence needed.
- 5-6 Acceptable – the application broadly addresses the criterion, but there are some weaknesses. The answer gives some relevant information, but there are areas where detail is lacking or the information is unclear.
- 3-4 Fair – the application addresses the criterion, but there are many weaknesses. The answer gives some relevant information, but there are several areas where detail is lacking or the information is unclear.
- 1-2 Very weak – the application fails to address the criterion or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information. The answer does not address the question asked, or gives very little relevant information.
- 0 No evidence – the application fails to include a minimum amount of evidence to enable the criterion to be evaluated.

N.B. Although indicated on the scoring scale, experts should avoid "0" which relates to "no evidence". For obvious particular cases, experts should contact the MEDIA Desk staff à priori.

N.B.2. Some criteria are to be rated on a scale of 15 points. In those cases, you may find useful to use the standard scale and then multiply the score by the corresponding factor, further refine to the next whole number (if applicable). For example: 8/10 points in the standard scale corresponds to 12/15 (factor: x1.5).

N.B.3 As a horizontal criterion, please evaluate – if applicable – the strategies to ensure a more **sustainable and environmentally-respectful industry, gender balance, inclusion, diversity and representativeness**, either in the project/content or in the way of managing the activities.